PRINT MONEY FOR THE POOR AND LET THEM SHOP

We Printed Money for the Rich

Why not Print some for the Poor??

Desire' Dubounet Gets Nominated for the Nobel Prize in Economics for this Movie

http://nobelprizenominationofdesiredubounet.blog.com/
Our current form of Capitalistic Economics has two major flaws. It did not anticipate such a large amount of clever criminal minds being able to steal and sequester such large amounts of currency from the world economy. Over a quad-trillion has been stolen from commerce over the last several decades. This is crippling to the economy. The economists planning our economy could not have foreseen this horrendous circumstance.

The next problem of such unbounded greed never anticipated could make people psychopathic wanting only more and more. And if all you want is more then you are never satisfied. The planners never imagined so few would sequester so much and they never anticipated such lack of compassion and cold hearted disdain for the poor. They thought only of normal people who feel compassion and normal people share their wealth. But one person in 20 is a psychopath without compassion. These psychopaths can be very Clever, Clever enough to steal swindle and pilfer money, Clever enough to avoid taxes, Clever enough to force their way into power. They have been so Clever as to be able to control governments and threaten the world all for greed and power.

A new economy needs to be structured for all of humanity to save the world from this psychopathic greed. Let’s look into today’s needs to structure a new economy.

We have destitute poor and it is a tragedy, we cannot give them money, the poor are irresponsible

A country can best be judged by how well it takes care of their poorest, with this in mind America is not a good country at all

We print money for the Ultra-Rich; We say they are too big to fail

If we are going to print money we will need to freeze prices to prevent inflation

The most powerful resource of the Americans is their ability to shop

Consumerism is the true American Sport and it makes America Valuable to the World

What if we gave the destitute vouchers for basic healthy food, clothing, shelter, good equal education, health insurance, and a little extra to shop?

This money would then trickle up to the Ultra-Rich making them richer while Stopping Destitution
Why NOT Print money for the Ultra-Rich by giving it to the poor?

All Surpluses of food and commodities would be sold to feed the poor and make money for the businesses as nothing goes to waste

So what about the other countries of the world, if America prints money to relieve destitution and it filters up to the Ultra-Rich??

All of Americans benefit and so does the world who will sell their goods to the American consumer who is so extremely skilled at shopping

And if other countries print money to relieve destitution we should all applaud the effort and work together to make the world a better place

Is it that simple? Could be

We no longer have a society of start-up where accounting needs to take place for items. Since we now have built a basic infrastructure of manufacturing it is time for an economy that helps all. Now shopping, volume and distribution makes the world a better place. Freeze prices, print money to help the destitute. Then money might not be the main emphasis it is now. Humanity could find its true potential.
Poor are the most charitable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income groups</th>
<th>Lowest</th>
<th>Second</th>
<th>Third</th>
<th>Fourth</th>
<th>Highest</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average income*</td>
<td>$10,531</td>
<td>$27,674</td>
<td>$46,213</td>
<td>$73,460</td>
<td>$158,888</td>
<td>$63,091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income group limit</td>
<td>$19,301</td>
<td>$36,070</td>
<td>$57,944</td>
<td>$91,297</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giving as percent of income</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Prefax
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We did just Print Money for the Rich

Why not print money to end destitution?????

PRINT MONEY FOR THE POOR AND LET THEM SHOP
End Poverty
Stimulate the Economy

What a Great Idea

Print money for the desperate poor and freeze prices to stop inflation.
We told them the wealth would "trickle down"!

*The Old Economy*
Over a quad-trillion has been stolen from commerce over the last several decades. This is crippling to the economy. The economists planning our economy could not have foreseen this horrendous circumstance.

$1 Trillion (in double-stacked pallets)

This is How Much has been Stolen + Removed from our Society

This is a Quadrillion

Here is You
ON 9/10/2001 RUMSFELD ANNOUNCES $2.3 TRILLION MISSING FROM PENTAGON

THE NEXT DAY WAS 911...IT WAS NEVER MENTIONED AGAIN

One Day Before 9-11 WTC Attack

The Pentagon
2.3 Trillion Dollars Missing...

International government fraud suspected in 2009 money transfer totalling $15 TRILLION - British House of Lords Inquiry

Youtubeyoutuvmoderpeps
HEIST! SMA ROBBED FOR 600+ BILLION

“Daylight Robbery”
Where did the money go? An investigation into claims that up to US$23 billion has been lost, stolen or not properly accounted for in Iraq.

MONEY IS TO SERVE THE MASSES NOT THE FEW
How Crooked Politicians Robbed $2.5 From Social Security

The Washington Times

World loses more than $50 trillion
Shrinkage equals year of output

David M. Dickson (Contact)
Tuesday, March 10, 2009

Financial assets around the world may have lost "well over $50 trillion" of value last year, a collapse of wealth equivalent to a year's worth of world economic output, the Asian Development Bank reported Monday.

This "astounding" decline reflects stock market losses, write-downs on bonds and currencies losing ground against the dollar as investors engaged in a
$1,361,672,559,288
1.3 Trillion MISSING from USA spending

Cyberattacks account for up to
$1 trillion in global losses/yr
In America today, we have stolen more than 16 trillion dollars from future generations!

Five-Story Cayman Islands Building That 18,857 Companies Call Home
Over a quadrillion Stolen by criminals and sequestered from the world economy

If we give Money to the Poor the Money will Trickel down to the Rich

QUADRILLION
IS WHAT COMES AFTER TRILLIONS
### Distribution of Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ultra-Rich</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rich</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Middle</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Middle</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destitute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is an unequal world sustainable? If not, whose way of life needs to change?

**Cartoon:**

- **Capitalism is fun!**
- **It is very simple. All you have to do is feed and care for the Derivatives Beast until world markets improve!**
- **But he looks very hungry.**
- **Just let me print money.**
Our people all live in fear of destitution. Fear of complete financial collapse. This fear breeds crime and makes people desperate. The gap of haves and have-nots is growing.
Printing money for the destitute would quite possibly cut small crimes 75% or more. Thus making our world a safer place.
Fear of destitution, boredom from unemployment, lack of equal economic education makes our youth resort to public displays of aggression and ill will.
OUR CHILDREN ARE LOST TO APATHY

Get Back our Children's Interest by Stopping Hypocrisy
an ever increasing amount of money is Hoarded away by the Ultra-Rich

The People are TOO BIG TO FAIL
SECOND BILL OF RIGHTS

EVERY AMERICAN HAS THE RIGHT TO:

1. A JOB
2. AN ADEQUATE WAGE AND DECENT LIVING
3. A DECENT HOME
4. MEDICAL CARE
5. ECONOMIC PROTECTION DURING SICKNESS, ACCIDENT, OLD AGE OR UNEMPLOYMENT
6. A GOOD EDUCATION

“Necessitous men are not free men.”
We need a new Bill Of Rights to include
The right to a good equal education.
MONEY IS TO SERVE THE MASSES NOT THE FEW
Thank you taxpayers for making us rich.
You wouldn’t buy our shitty cars.

So we’ll be taking your money anyway.

The Bailout. Coming this January.

You probably thought it was smart to buy a foreign import of superior quality, with better mileage and resale value. Maybe you even thought that years of market share loss might prod us into rethinking our process and redesigning our products with better quality in mind. But you forgot one thing:

We spend a shitload of money on lobbyists. So now you’re out $25 billion, plus the cost of your Subaru. Maybe next time you’ll buy American like a real man. Either way, we’re cool.

We’re The Big Three. We Don’t Need to Compete.™
JUST THE WAY WE WANT IT--A BAILOUT WITH NO STRINGS ATTACHED!

The Rescue Plan

Hey, Fed...prevent another disaster by bailing me out!

Look Mom no future
The basis of all religions is to take care of the extreme poor. This is the judge of a society. It is time for humanity to help the poor.
Yes to Prosperity

Economic Collapse?
FAILURE of a ECONOMIC SYSTEM
46 million Americans are living below the poverty line – up 27% since start of recession

Solution???
THERE WILL COME A TIME WHEN THE WORLD'S INFRASTRUCTURE AND ECONOMY WILL BE SO STRONG THAT WE WILL NEED CONSUMERS JUST AS MUCH AS WE NEED MANUFACTURES

SOME THINK THAT TIME IS NOW

Desire Dubounet
If We Print Money for the Poor then All Surpluses of food and commodities would be sold to feed the poor and make money for the businesses as nothing goes to waste.
It would only take 92 trillion dollars to give each poor person a start.

Hard choices ahead.

If we give Money to the Poor the Money will Trickle down to the Rich.
We must freeze prices if we want to print such a vast amount of money.
NO YOU CAN'T

YES YOU CAN

BANKS GOT BAILED-OUT
WE GOT SOLD OUT!!!

OCCUPYLA.ORG

Why not Print some for the Poor
LET'S END FEAR

We are not talking about printing for the low middle class or high low class, we are talking about money to end destitution and the threat of destitution, and to end the fear of total loss.

LET'S STOP DESTITUTION

THE MONEY AND GOODWILL WILL SPREAD UP TO ALL AND MAKE OUR SOCIETY ALL RICHER MUCH RICHER IN MANY WAYS
Poor people should not be forced into eating unhealthy food, smoking and drugs.

People only Start to Smoke from Emotional and Sexual Insecurity
Hamberger Sales drive the Deforestation while giving the Poor People UnHealthy Bad Environmental Food

Healthcare Dollars

Healthcare Dollar Study
5% of Utilizers Consume 50% of Healthcare Costs
Health care doesn't keep people healthy --

By Ezra Klein
File this one under "health care doesn't work nearly as well as we'd like to believe." A group of researchers followed almost 15,000 initially healthy Canadians for more than 10 years to see whether universal access to health care meant that the rich and the poor were equally likely to stay healthy. The answer? Not even close

The researchers ran the data two ways: High-income patients vs. low-income patients, and highly educated patients vs. less educated patients. Over the course of the study, the high-income patients were only 35 percent as likely to die as the low-income patients, and the highly educated patients only 26 percent as likely to die as the low-income patients. But from Health Care noone gets Healthier
Poor People Eat Poorly

Pharmacology Fact: To Use a SYNTHETIC anything is an Insult to the Body
SUPREME COURT'S "FIRST AMENDMENT" DECISION...

CORPORATIONS

We the People

PERSONALITY DIAGNOSTIC CHECKLIST
WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION ICD-10
MANUAL OF MENTAL DISORDERS DSM-IV

☑ Callous unconcern for the feelings of others
☑ Incapacity to maintain enduring relationships
☑ Reckless disregard for the safety of others
☑ Deceitfulness: repeated lying and conning others for profit
☑ Incapacity to experience guilt
☑ Failure to conform to social norms with respect to lawful behaviours
Greed, power, avarice, repression of feelings, suppression of emotions all lead to Psychopathology and drive people to want more and More and just always MORE
IN OUR WORLD

ONLY THE RICH

LIVE HAPPLY AT

POOR

DE

AUSTERITY ISN'T
WORKING.

SUFFER

$3.7 trillion

SSA 2011 estimate

$2.9 trillion

SSA 2010 estimate

$3.3 trillion

CBO 2012 estimate

$2.7 trillion

CBO's new projections for the Social Security trust fund show a sharp deterioration. The budget scorekeeper sees nearly $1 trillion less than Social Security Administration actuaries were projecting less than a year ago.

Kill the Welfare, Health and Social Care Bills

Against cuts

Disabled People

Wales Against the Cut in Disability Benefits

More than 4000 signatures
The bad news is, we’ll have to take possession of your baby for nonpayment of debt.

The good news is, we’ve preapproved him for his own card!
Fear of Destitution Makes our Society Mentally Unstable
## GREATEST INCREASES IN CONCENTRATED POVERTY

The Toledo metro area, which includes Lucas, Wood, Fulton, and Ottawa counties, ranked first out of 100 areas with an increase in the rate of poor people living in extremely poor neighborhoods. The ranking compiled through a Brookings analysis is based on U.S. Census data from 2000 and 2005-09.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Metro area with greatest increases in concentrated poverty</th>
<th>Concentrated poverty rate change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Toledo</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>El Paso</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, Ohio, Pa.</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Detroit-Warren-Livonia, Mich.</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Jackson, Miss.</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>New Haven-Milford, Conn.</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Poughkeepsie-Newburgh-Middletown, N.Y.</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dayton</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford, Conn.</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE:** Metropolitan Policy Program of Brookings

---

**Poor are the most charitable**

Those in the lowest U.S. income group give the largest percentage of their incomes to charity. Figures for 2007:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income groups</th>
<th>Lowest</th>
<th>Second</th>
<th>Third</th>
<th>Fourth</th>
<th>Highest</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average income*</td>
<td>$10,531</td>
<td>$27,674</td>
<td>$46,213</td>
<td>$73,460</td>
<td>$158,888</td>
<td>$63,091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income group limit</td>
<td>$19,301</td>
<td>$36,070</td>
<td>$57,944</td>
<td>$91,297</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giving as percent of income</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pretax
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So Many Things are getting Worse too Fast
Wealth Trickling Down

They're Still Laughing

We did just Print Money for the Rich

Why NOT Print Money for the POOR???????
All Corporations are by Definition Psychopathic

The Corporation

a film by Mark Achbar, Jennifer Abbott & Joel Bakan

MONEY IS TO SERVE THE MASSES NOT THE FEW
The money saved from fighting useless wars could have solved world hunger.
Do we Need More A Bombs

17 Trillion dollars is spent on new War Weapons every Year, This is 30,000 dollars for each person on the Planet enough to end world hunger+poverty

Congress increased the Nuclear Bomb Budget 15% because we have enough bombs to destroy the Planet 1000 times and the Nuclear Bomb People say it is not enough

We need more A-bombs to fight terrorists in rental cars, or rioters in the Streets Obama tries to end A-Bombs but congress votes for more from pressure of Lobbyists
The solution is to print money and let the POOR SHOP
The World needs well trained consumers to buy the things the World makes.
The World Needs American to SHOP
We Can Always Print Money to Do That

POSTED ON AUGUST 8, 2011 AT 12.29 PM

“The United States can pay any debt it has because we can always print money to do that. So there is zero probability of default.” — Alan Greenspan, August 7, 2011

Is Mr Greenspan the greatest troll alive? Following this quote, we can only hope that’s the case.

It’s certainly true that we could just print more and more and MORE money to pay off the government’s $14 trillion+ debt. Actually, we wouldn’t even need to physically print anything — we’d just add a few zeros to some balance sheets here and there and then set up a some wire transfers to our various lenders. Voila! Problem solved. Remind me again why we had that whole debate last week?

The difficulty here — and presumably the reason why we haven’t taken advantage of this ostensibly simple solution already — is that this would cause massive overnight inflation, probably collapse the dollar, and generally wreak havoc on the world’s economy.

See, whenever you just print huge quantities of money based on nothing, this results in devaluation, or inflation, of the unit of currency. The effect of the very policy Mr. Greenspan recommends may be clearly seen in the history of our money’s value over the course of the last 100 years of the Federal Reserve’s print-happy ways. The dollar’s worth has declined to less than five cents of what it was at the beginning of the 20th Century.
The presence of 14 trillion new dollars would dilute — and thus inflate — our money supply at an unprecedented rate. As simply defined by Austrian economist Ludwig von Mises, inflation “means increasing the quantity of money and bank notes in circulation and the quantity of bank deposits subject to check.”

Basically: making more money out of thin air = less value per piece of money.

In practice, we often use the term “inflation” to discuss the resultant rise in prices which this new quantity of money produces. Unfortunately, this habit is not only inaccurate but also dangerous:

When inflation is seen as a general rise in prices, then anything that contributes to price increases is called inflationary….In this framework, not only does the central bank have nothing to do with inflation, but, on the contrary, the bank is regarded, against all evidence, as an inflation fighter.

Though his statement admitted no such possibility, Mr. Greenspan and the central bank he used to head are both veteran agents of the inflation they claim to fight. Nevertheless, his suggestion of printing our way back from the edge of default is impressively reckless even for a (former) Fed mouthpiece.

To quote Mises again, “Continued inflation inevitably leads to catastrophe.” And the catastrophe hurts worst those who can least afford it:

Savers and those living on fixed or low incomes are hardest hit as the cost of living rises. Low- and middle-incomes families suffer the most as they struggle to make ends meet while wealth is literally transferred from the middle class to the wealthy. Government officials stick to their claim that no significant inflation exists, even as certain necessary costs are skyrocketing and incomes are stagnating.

The transfer of wealth comes as savers and fixed-income families lose purchasing power, large banks benefit, and corporations receive plush contracts from the government — as is the case with military contractors. These companies use the newly printed money before it circulates, while the middle class is forced to accept it at face value later on.[emphasis added]

Not coincidentally, those who suffer most from inflation are also those who have the fewest cocktail party acquaintances working at the Fed — “Oh dahling, let me just print you up a couple hundred for the powder room…and a couple billion to bail out your failing corporation!” So although Greenspan’s remark is couched in the assumption that we must prevent a default on behalf of all Americans, the real life results of his proposal wouldn’t be nearly so egalitarian.

In the end, “we can always print money to do that” is less a reassurance and more an off-the-cuff summary of the last century of government growth, leeching off the leaking wallets of the lower and middle classes. How possible default may be I’m not sure, but printing our way out of it is most certainly not the answer.
To Whom Does the U.S. Government Really Owe Money?

$13,562 billion as of 30 September 2010

- 42.1% U.S. Individuals and Institutions
- 17.9% China (and Hong Kong)
- 11.7% Japan
- 9.5% United Kingdom
- 6.3% U.S. Civil Service Retirement Fund
- 6.0% "Oil Exporters"
- 2.1% Brazil
- 1.6% All Other Foreign Nations
- 1.3% Social Security Trust Fund
- 1.0%

Source: U.S. Treasury Department
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Bush signs Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act and sells all of American and her citizens as slaves to the SINthetic drug and Medical Cartel
The SINthetic Cemical Medical Cartel trully Own America and all the People are their Slaves

Evil Bankers
Stay on Your Medication

owned by Big Pharma

Big Pharma owns the Big Banks
COUNTERTHINK

FDA PROCESS FOR APPROVING NEW DRUGS...

My Uncle will get a Drug Co Job
Now, Holy Vioxx

I can get a drug co Grant

I'm Promised a Fellowship and Dental

And I can quit this mindless job and get a real cushy job with the Drug Co. as their ethics supervisor

www.NewsTarget.com

ART - DAN BERGER
CONCEPT - MIKE ADAMS
THE COMMITTEE TO
SAVE THE WORLD

But we Make Excuses to Not Print for the Poor
Why Not Just Print More Money?

Q: Can you help me find a "simple" way to explain why it is not a good idea to just print more money. I’m having trouble explaining the connection between this and the dollar becoming worthless.

A: Thanks for your great question!

The issue of the value of money has come up in articles such as "Why Does Money Have Value?", "The Demand For Money", and "Prices and Recessions". However, I’ve never really answered the question “Why Not Just Print More Money”. So I’ll do that now.

Wouldn’t We All Be Wealthier If We Printed More Money?

If we print more money, prices will rise such that we’re no better off than we were before. To see why, we’ll suppose this isn’t true, and that prices will not increase much when we drastically increase the money supply. Consider the case of the United States. Let’s suppose the United States decides to increase the money supply by mailing every man, woman, and child an envelope full of money. What would people do with that money? Some of that money will be saved, some might go toward paying off debt like mortgages and credit cards, but most of it will be spent. I know the first thing I’d do is go down to Walmart and buy an Xbox or PlayStation 2 (if you have an opinion of which I should buy e-mail me by using the feedback form). I’m not going to be the only one who runs out to buy an Xbox. This presents a problem for Walmart. Do they keep their prices the same and not have enough Xboxes to sell to everyone who wants one, or do
they raise their prices? The obvious decision would be to raise their prices. If Walmart (along with everyone else) decides to raise their prices right away, we would have massive inflation, and our money is now devalued. Since we’re trying to argue this won’t happen, we’ll suppose that Walmart and the other retailers don’t increase the price of Xboxes. For the price of Xboxes to hold steady, the supply of Xboxes will have to meet this added demand. If there are shortages, certainly the price will rise, as consumers who are denied an Xbox will offer to pay a price well in excess of what Walmart was formerly charging.

For the retail price of the Xbox not to rise, we will need the producer of the Xbox, Microsoft, to increase production to satisfy this increased demand. Certainly this will not be technically possible in some industries, as there are capacity constraints (machinery, factory space) that limit how much production can be increased in a short period of time. We also need Microsoft not to charge retailers more per system, as this would cause Walmart to increase the price they charged to consumers, as we’re trying to create a scenario where the price of the Xbox won’t rise. By this logic we also need the per-unit costs of producing the Xbox not to rise. This is going to be difficult as the companies that Microsoft buys parts from are going to have the same pressures and incentives to raise prices that Walmart and Microsoft do. If Microsoft is going to produce more Xboxes, they’re going to need more man hours of labor and obtaining these hours cannot add too much (if anything) to their per-unit costs, or else they will be forced to raise the price they charge retailers.

Wages are essentially prices; an hourly wage is the price a person charges for an hour of labor. It will be impossible for hourly wages to stay at their current levels. Some of the added labor may come through employees working overtime. This clearly has added costs, and workers are not likely to be as productive (per hour) if they’re working 12 hours a day than if they’re working 8. Many companies will need to hire extra labor. This demand for extra labor will cause wages to rise, as companies bid up wage rates in order to induce workers to work for their company. They’ll also have to induce their current workers not to retire. If you were given an envelope full of cash, do you think you’d put in more hours at work, or less? Labor market pressures require wages to increase, so product costs must increase as well.

In short prices will go up after a drastic increase in the money supply because:

1. If people have more money, they’ll divert some of that money to spending. Retailers will be forced to raise prices, or run out of product.
2. Retailers who run out of product will try to replenish it. Producers face the same dilemma of retailers that they will either have to raise prices, or face shortages because they do not have the capacity to create extra product and they cannot find labor at rates which are low enough to justify the extra production.

In articles such as "Why Does Money Have Value?", "The Demand For Money", and "Prices and Recessions" we’ve seen that inflation is caused by a combination of four factors. Those factors are:

- The supply of money goes up.
- The supply of goods goes down.
- Demand for money goes down.
- Demand for goods goes up.

We’ve seen why an increase in the supply of money causes prices to rise. If the supply of goods increased enough, factor 1 and 2 could balance each other out and we could avoid inflation. Suppliers would produce more goods if wage rates and the price of their inputs wouldn’t increase. However, we’ve seen they will increase. In fact, it’s likely that they’ll increase to such a level where it will be optimal for the firm to produce the amount they would have if the money supply had not increased.
This gets us to why drastically increasing the money supply on the surface seems like a good idea. When we say we’d like more money, what we’re really saying is we’d like more wealth. The problem is if we all have more money, collectively we’re not going to be any more wealthy. Increasing the amount of money does nothing to increasing the amount of wealth or more plainly the amount of stuff in the world. Since the same number of people are chasing the same amount of stuff, we cannot on average be wealthier than we were before.

George Carlin
Advertising Lullaby lyrics

Quality, value, style, service, selection, convenience
Economy, savings, performance, experience, hospitality
Low rates, friendly service, name brands, easy terms
Affordable prices, money-back guarantee.

Free installation, free admission, free appraisal, free alterations,
Free delivery, free estimates, free home trial, and free parking.

No cash? No problem! No kidding! No fuss, no muss,
No risk, no obligation, no red tape, no down payment,
No entry fee, no hidden charges, no purchase necessary,
No one will call on you, no payments or interest till September.

Limited time only, though, so act now, order today, send no money,
Offer good while supplies last, two to a customer, each item sold separately,
Batteries not included, mileage may vary, all sales are final,
Allow six weeks for delivery, some items not available,
Some assembly required, some restrictions may apply.

So come on in for a free demonstration and a free consultation
with our friendly, professional staff. Our experienced and
knowledgeable sales representatives will help you make a
selection that's just right for you and just right for your budget.

And say, don't forget to pick up your free gift: a classic deluxe
custom designer luxury prestige high-quality premium select
gourmet pocket pencil sharpener. Yours for the asking,
no purchase necessary. It's our way of saying thank you.

And if you act now, we'll include an extra added free complimentary
bonus gift at no cost to you: a classic deluxe custom designer
luxury prestige high-quality premium select gourmet combination
key ring, magnifying glass, and garden hose, in a genuine
imitation leather-style carrying case with authentic vinyl trim.
Yours for the asking, no purchase necessary. It's our way of
saying thank you.

Actually, it's our way of saying 'Bend over just a little farther
so we can stick this big advertising dick up your ass a little bit
deeper, a little bit deeper, a little bit DEEPER, you miserable
no-good dumbass fucking consumer
Western governments appropriate around 35% of their citizens' earnings in the form of taxes - of one sort or another. But did you know that the numerous regulations and red tape that they also impose on businesses means that goods and services cost around 13% more than they would otherwise do?

In other words, western governments confiscate about 50% (not 35%) of their people's purchasing power.

Printing money (which they now call 'quantitative easing' so that it does not sound so bad) is what governments do (with the aid of banks) in order to con billions of dollars out of the people without them noticing.

Here's how part of the scam works.
Imagine that you want to be the mayor of the town and that you need to convince the people to vote for you to get the job. So, most secretly, you print some money with your printing press that you hide in your garden shed. And you use this money to pay some of the town's labourers and some of its businesses to build a new bridge across the river.

"Hurrah!" shout the voters. "What a wonderful man you are! You bring us such beautiful gifts. We shall vote for you!"

But what they do not realise fully is that it is they who have paid for the bridge, and it is they who have actually put their backs into building it!

You did nothing.

By printing the money to pay for the bridge, and putting that money into general circulation, you have simply devalued the money in everyone else's pocket to exactly the same amount as the cost of the bridge.

The people paid for the bridge with their own money, and with their own sweat.

But you get the credit for it!

Neat, eh?
during the construction of the Panama Canal?

In the real world such political shenanigans lead to something called inflation. The money in your pocket, the money that you earn, and all the money that you have already saved are simply devalued by this inflation.

In fact, it is just a well-hidden form of tax.

It eats away at your money, bit by bit, but over the years it amounts to a very considerable sum.

And most of the electorate does not really notice it happening.

What a great scam!

In the very early 1970s, here in the UK, old folks whose lifeline savings amounted to, say, £20 000, could have bought a whole four-bedroomed house in London! Ten years later (and assuming that they had invested this cash in a deposit account) they would have been lucky to have been able to buy an apartment less than half the size.

\[ \textit{those old folk were just robbed of nearly half theirlife savings} \]

Basically, those old folk were just robbed of nearly half their lifeline savings - often made throughout 40+ years or so of work.

And what could they do about it?

Nothing.

The central banks are also part of the scam.

So, let us go back to the town where you have just successfully been elected the mayor.
Hmm. Somehow you've got to make some money for yourself.

So you say to your brother, "I know what we'll do. You print the money in your garden shed, and lend it out at interest to the townsfolk so that you can make a profit. And I'll get a piece of the action."

"What a good idea!" says your brother.

So he prints the money (devaluing everybody else's) and he makes a profit from the interest by lending it out to the people - i.e. *from the very money that he actually stole from the people in the first place by devaluing their currency.*

But you want a piece of the action too.

So you go to your brother and say to him. "Please Brother. Print some money especially for me, because I want to build a new hospital in order to suck the electorate into voting for me again. Oh, and by the
and weapons industries. I will definitely need some money for that too."

(e.g. USA The US government also provided a $3.8 billion loan to Poland, on very favorable terms, to finance the purchase of 48 F-16s, which are manufactured in President Bush’s home state of Texas.)

"I can print as much money as you like," chirps your brother most happily. "But I will charge you a little interest on the deal."

"That’s fine by me," you reply to your brother. "Why the Hell should I care? After all, it is not my money that I will be using to pay you back the interest. It will be those suckers who voted for me who will have to foot the bills. Besides which, I’ll probably be doing a different job in a few years time - probably in the very oil and weapons industries that I have supported most handsomely with the money that you created. And so it will be some other mayor who will end up having to pay back all the debt. In the meantime, however, I also get the credit for building the hospital."

It really is a terrific scam.

And it cost you nothing!

You and your brother created nothing - except paper - but you managed to spend a whole fistful of money, earn interest on it, and then, to top it all off, you manage to get elected into office by convincing the people what a jolly good fellow you are.

This is like sneaking into the back of people's houses, stealing some of their money, and then popping round to the front door

This is like sneaking into the back of people's houses, stealing some of their money, and then popping round to the front door and offering them a free gift if they vote for you - or offering them a loan with the money that you have just stolen from the back of the house.
But it's *their* money. It's what *they* worked for.

But *your* government does this sort of thing *all the time*.

It steals *your* money by printing money.

And so it is that both central banks and governments are in cahoots with each other to take, by stealth, money from the people (printing money -> inflation -> devaluation of their currency) and to earn profits (from interest) on the basis of the money (equal to the devaluation) that they have sneakily stolen from the people in the first place. And then the government gets your votes because you have not seen what the politicians have been doing behind your back.

To get some idea of just how much larger than even this is the racket, bear in mind that if you take out a typical long term loan to buy a house or a business, the amount that you pay back *in interest alone* is usually much greater than the cost of the building that you are actually purchasing.

Putting this another way: The amount of blood, sweat, tears, effort *and* work that you have to endure in order to pay off the 'interest mongers'...
purchase the building.

you will spend more of your years labouring to pay off the people who did nothing but lend you the money

In other words, you will spend more of your years labouring to pay off the people who did nothing but lend you the money for the property than you will spend paying off the people who actually sweated away to build it.

The bricklayer gets a dollar, but the bank gets three!

What kind of system is that?

And when you think of all the millions upon millions of properties and businesses around the world that are bought in this manner, you can surely see that we are talking about hundreds of billions of dollars every year going to those groups who create and build, well, nothing really.

They do nothing but lend you the money.

Well, OK. The above is an oversimplification because in dynamic economies people do need to be able to borrow money if they are going to jump on the housing ladder or set up new businesses. And borrowing mechanisms need to be put into place - and paid for.

Nevertheless, a significant percentage of the world's transactions is based on money that the banks and the governments in cahoots together simply created out of thin air - thereby devaluing (stealing) your money in the process.

Now, not only does the government sneak in taxation by printing money, it also does its best to conceal what it does with it.

As just one example of this, every year, here in the UK, the Inland Revenue sends me its tax bill. It tells me what I have to pay and how this amount has been calculated. I am told that for seven years I must keep all my receipts and any documentation relating to my incomings.
But how come they don’t send me a breakdown of what they’re doing with my money?

For example, if I pay £5,000 in taxes, I would like to know how much of it is going on education, how much of it is going to pay for defense, and how much is going toward social security benefits.

Breaking down my £5,000 into 15 or 20 areas like this would empower me. It would empower everyone to know where their money was going.

By doing this, taxpayers could see, every year, what went up and what went down. They could see how much they paid for this and how much for that. They could then vote at elections with more knowledge about the issues and so they would come to better conclusions.

And this would be such a simple thing to do. A tiny little subroutine in the Revenue’s computer program could easily apply the relevant percentages for government expenditure to everyone’s tax invoice and print out the figures showing people where their money was being spent.
So why doesn’t the government do this?

*When people hear our politicians talking about millions spent here and billions spent there, it doesn’t mean anything to them.*

When people hear our politicians talking about millions spent here and billions spent there, it doesn’t mean anything to them. The numbers are too large and everybody pays different amounts. And so the taxpayers learn nothing about what it really means to them.

The government could solve this information deficit within a month. A few lines of computer program just before the invoice is actually printed, and away we go. It would print a simple breakdown for all of us. People would then know where their money was going.

And this really would inform and empower them.

£1342 for education. £746 for defense. £1768 for social security benefits.

But, knowledge is power - which, presumably, is why the government does not want to give people such information.

---

**Legal Battles Equals Growth!**

Did you know that 'services' like those provided by lawyers make up part of a nation's Gross Domestic Product?

Yep: The more lawyering that there is, the better does a country appear to be doing.

And yet, the amount of legal activity going on within a country is partly a measure of how much its people are involved in fighting with each other.
The greater the number of legal disputes, the higher is the GDP.

Further, for example, the necessary legal costs - e.g. as imposed on businesses by government - actually get counted in the national statistics as a sign of wealth!

What a con, eh?

Of course, the same is true with regard to, say, the expense of using accountants. For example, if you have to pay $500 to an accountant to sort out all your tax details, then this $500 is not counted as being part of your tax burden - which, of course, it is - but it does count toward the GDP.

And, further, businesses and corporations also have to pay huge amounts to lawyers, accountants and various bureaucrats who deal with all the red tape, and you, as a customer, have to foot these bills.

All these extra burdens are forced on us by governments. But they do not count as 'tax'.

All these extra burdens are forced on us by governments. But they do not count as 'tax'. They count as wealth.
tax system. And taxes are high enough already. The people might object!

But by forcing citizens to pay professionals who work outside of government to perform all these expensive tasks, the government does not have to raise taxes at all.

Indeed, it cleverly shifts what are, in fact, governmental burdens forcibly imposed upon citizens, into what appears to be extra wealth.

Other burdens such as monetary fines (e.g. for parking illegally and for speeding) and the costs incurred because of certain regulations (e.g. pertaining to buildings and, say, the money that employers must pay to women who take time off work as a result of pregnancy) also do not count as 'tax', but given that these expenditures are imposed by governments, and that they have to be paid for by the consumers, they most definitely should be counted as tax.

For example, a recent study showed that goods and services provided to consumers in western countries cost about 13% more as a result of government 'red tape'.

This 13% should count as 'tax' - but it doesn't.

It was also recently reported that the average US taxpayer spends 28 hours per year dealing with matters related to tax. This 28 hours per year is more than three working days. And yet, once again, the monetary value of this is not added to the figures when working out the burdens forcibly imposed by the government on its people.

---

*when government-imposed burdens are taken into account, 'tax' rates in the western world are nowadays hovering around the 50% mark*

---

Indeed, when government-imposed burdens are taken into account, 'tax' rates in the western world are nowadays hovering around the 50% mark, and much higher in some places.
Time to pay up.

But, back to the legal profession.

The legal profession is a very powerful and influential 'organism'.

The activities of this organism mostly reflect the levels of disharmony and dispute that exist within a country. It is in the interests of this 'organism' to increase the levels of disharmony and dispute throughout the country. And, unsurprisingly, because of its huge power and influence, it is extremely successful at doing this.

Indeed, the simple creation of a single law - regardless of its merits- just about always gives lawyers and government workers more power, more jobs and more wealth.

And, in America, the profession providing the biggest monetary contribution to the Democratic Party is - yep, you've guessed it - the legal profession.
Well. What a surprise, eh?

And guess from which profession most politicians are drawn.

The law.

Yes indeed. The politicians and their cronies are a group of people who benefit hugely from the creation of more and more laws, increasingly complex rules, regulations and red tape and, also, from what is best described as 'disharmony' - e.g. the higher the divorce rate or the crime rate, the more do they profit.

"Divorce Rate Spirals Upward"

So, when you go to work tomorrow, remember that some 50% of your efforts will be forcibly taken from you by your government. And, further, that it will be using much of this money to sow the seeds of societal discord.
"Poverty is not an accident. Like slavery and apartheid, it is man-made and can be removed by the actions of human beings."

- Nelson Mandela

Overcoming poverty is not a gesture of charity, it is an act of justice.
IT ALWAYS SEEMS IMPOSSIBLE UNTIL IT’S DONE.

PRINT MONEY FOR THE POOR AND LET THEM SHOP
With Less Fear of Desitution there will be Less Emphasis on Extreme Wealth, more Emphasis on Humanity, Compassion and Good Karma will become the New Currency

Paywithkarma.com
Sometimes it’s better not to talk about money