(This is from Desire': We will operate as an Open, Honest, Sharing and Caring Industry.

All opposed to Honest voice to voice communication with others in the community will not be allowed in this community. All backstabbers, covert rumormongers, and deceptive dishonest rumor gossipers will not be tolerated. All childish infantile people resisting open honest communication will not be allowed to stay in this industry. Children play nice or you get a time out)
Definition of slander

1. Law Oral communication of false statements injurious to a person's reputation. Slander is a defamatory statement expressed in a transitory medium, such as verbal speech. It is considered a civil injury, as opposed to a criminal offence. The tort of slander is often compared with that of libel, which is also characterized as a defamatory statement, but one made in a fixed form, such as writing. Slander Per Se is slander for which special damages (e.g. actual loss in revenue) need not be proved in order to recover general damages (e.g. for emotional distress). Slander Per Se only applies to slanderous publications which imputes to the plaintiff one of the following categories of Slander:

1) A crime involving moral turpitude,

2) A loathsome disease (e.g. a sexually transmitted disease),

3) Un-chastity, Immorality, Sexual impropriety

4) Inept, incompetent or unprofessional conduct that would adversely affect one's business or profession

What defenses may be available to someone who is sued for defamation?

Answer: There are ordinarily 6 possible defenses available to a defendant who is sued for libel (published defamatory communication.)

1. Truth. This is a complete defense against all slander
2. Fair comment on a matter of public interest. This defense applies to "opinion" only, as compared to a statement of fact. The defendant usually needs to prove that the opinion is honestly held and the comments were not motivated by actual "malice." (Malice means knowledge of falsity or reckless disregard for the truth of falsity of the defamatory statement.)
3. Privilege. The privilege may be absolute or qualified. Privilege generally exists where the speaker or writer has a duty to communicate to a specific person or persons on a given occasion. In some cases the privilege is qualified and may be lost if the publication is unnecessarily wide or made with malice.
4. Consent. This is rarely available, as plaintiffs will not ordinarily agree to the publication of statements that they find offensive.
5. Innocent dissemination. In some cases a party who has no knowledge of the content of a defamatory statement may use this defense. For example, a mailman who
delivers a sealed envelope containing a defamatory statement is not legally liable for any damages that come about from the statement.

6. Plaintiff's poor reputation. Defendant can mitigate (lessen) damages for a defamatory statement by proving that the plaintiff did not have a good reputation to begin with. Defendant ordinarily can prove plaintiff's poor reputation by calling witnesses with knowledge of the plaintiff's prior reputation relating to the defamatory content.

Can an opinion be defamatory?

**Answer:** No? But merely labeling a statement as your "opinion" does not make it so. Courts look at whether a reasonable reader or listener could understand the statement as asserting a statement of verifiable fact. (A verifiable fact is one capable of being proven true or false.) This is determined in light of the context of the statement. A few courts have said that statements made in the context of an Internet bulletin board or chat room are highly likely to be opinions or hyperbole, but they do look at the remark in context to see if it's likely to be seen as a true, even if controversial, opinion ("I really hate George Lucas' new movie") rather than an assertion of fact dressed up as an opinion ("It's my opinion that Trinity is the hacker who broke into the IRS database").

What are the elements of a defamation claim?

**Answer:** The party making a defamation claim (plaintiff) must ordinarily prove four elements:

1. a publication to one other than the person defamed;
2. a false statement of fact;
3. that is understood as
   a. being of and concerning the plaintiff; and
   b. tending to harm the reputation of plaintiff.
4. If the plaintiff is a public figure, he or she must also prove actual malice.

Any intentional false communication, either written or spoken, that harms a person’s reputation; decreases the respect, regard, or confidence in which a person is held; or induces disparaging, hostile, or disagreeable opinions or feelings against a person. It held that a public official alleging libel must prove actual malice in order to recover damages. Where the plaintiff in a defamation action is a private citizen who is not in the public eye, the law extends a lesser degree of constitutional protection to defamatory statements. Public figures voluntarily place themselves in a position that invites close scrutiny, whereas private citizens who have not entered public life do not relinquish their interest in protecting their reputation. In addition, public figures have greater access to the means to publicly counteract false statements about them. For these reasons, a private citizen's reputation and privacy interests tend to outweigh free speech considerations and deserve greater protection from the courts.
What is libel?

**Answer:** Libel is a false statement of fact expressed in a fixed medium, usually writing but also a picture, sign, or electronic broadcast.

The following are a couple of examples from California cases; note the law may vary from state to state. Libelous (only when false):

- Charging someone with being a communist (in 1959)
- Calling someone a "crook", Criminal, Thief, without evidence
- Calling someone a liar, deceiver, cheater without evidence
- Describing a woman as a call girl, whore, prostitute, without evidence
- Accusing someone of unethical conduct without evidence
- Accusing a father of violating the confidence of his son or daughter
- Saying someone has a communicable disease

**Not-libelous:**

- Calling a political foe a "thief" and "liar" in chance encounter (because hyperbole in context)
- Calling a TV show participant a "local loser," "chicken butt" and "big skank"
- Calling someone a "bitch" or a "son of a bitch"
- Changing product code name from "Carl Sagan" to "Butt Head Astronomer"

We frown on rude crude name calling. But some leeway must be provided for response from dimwits.

Can someone be sued for publishing somebody else's private facts?

**Answer:** Some jurisdictions allow lawsuits for the publication of private facts. In California, for example, the elements are (1) public disclosure; (2) of a private fact; (3) that is offensive to a reasonable person; and (4) which is not a legitimate matter of public concern. Publication on a blog would generally be considered public disclosure. However, if a private fact is deemed "newsworthy," it may be legal to print it even if it might be considered "offensive to a reasonable person."

**Question:** What are private facts?

**Answer:** Private facts are personal details about someone that have not been disclosed to the public. A person's sexual orientation, a sex-change operation, and a private romantic encounter could all be private facts. Once publicly disclosed by that person, however, they move into the public domain.
Bigots destroyed the other chat line with their cyber bullying, we will try to not let it be contagious here on this site which is dedicated to truth, here on

**ASK AND IT WILL BE REVEALED**

We need clear cut rules of order to prevent disinformation. We are dedicated to truth, communication and helping people get good advice. Personal vendettas, bigotry and intolerance will be exposed and discouraged.

Anyone here can ask a question,

Anyone can express an opinion,

No one has the right to lie about a person especially a professional lawyer, doctor, or therapist without substantiation, rumors and third party comments must be verified.

But we will not let uneducated and inexperienced give legal advice, medical orders, or mental consulting without providing credentials.

Those who are unwilling to tell their education if requested, unable to provide evidence if requested, unable to provide validation of their claims if requested, or if they do and continue bullying we will label them and warn others to not allow this cyber-bullying virus to continue

We do not allow harassment or cyber-bullying.

And only our professional site staff will do the labeling, others doing so will be deleted.

Disobey the rules and you get a Strike, Three Strikes and you’re out for a Month with a Time Out Like we do for children.

Libel is a false statement of fact expressed in a fixed medium, usually writing but also a picture, sign, or electronic broadcast. Here are the examples of libel we will not tolerate on this site.
- Calling someone a Crook, Criminal, Thief, or Felon without good indisputable evidence
- Calling someone a liar, deceiver, cheater without good indisputable evidence
- Describing a woman as a call girl, whore, prostitute, without evidence
- Accusing someone of unethical conduct without indisputable evidence
- Saying someone has a communicable disease

*We frown on rude crude name calling. But some leeway must be provided for response from dimwits. We will allow some snarky comments but we want to elevate the education not lower it.*

After three strikes the person will be removed for one month to cool down and given a short leash when returning.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-5PZ_Bh-M6o
http://antibullyingblog.blogspot.hu/2012/03/cyber-bullying-virus.html

**Internet bullying virus**

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-5PZ_Bh-M6o
http://antibullyingblog.blogspot.hu/2012/03/cyber-bullying-virus.html
To Stop Cyber-Bullies we Demand people pretending to be Experts provide evidence of their education and experience.

We also demand Evidence and Validation of your Claims and or Accusations.
On our new “Ask and it will be revealed to you” question and answer site we have followed rules of law to make sure that truth will come out over lies and rumors. Anyone can ask a question, anyone can state an opinion. When asked to give your educational back ground and you fail to do so the others in the website will be told you did not show the credentials. If you make libelous statements when asked to give your evidence plus background and you fail to do so the others in the website will be told you did not show the credentials or evidence. This way a shaved gorilla in a tree will not be able to play lawyer and commit libel. Name calling is not libel, rudeness is not illegal, and emotional outbursts are to be expected. Although distasteful it has to be tolerated but discouraged. Calling a nitwit a nitwit is not against the law, so we will try to tolerate this and we will not tolerate Libel.

As Joni has shown us there was a website in 2009-2010 where a group of bigots behind Grand Master Baiter started wrongfully attacking me. GMB had stolen our software and committed FDA device adulteration. People unlawfully committed libel and called me a felon, when I am not a felon. This criminal activity led to the demise of the chat room. Bigots have worked against the community

Jane Irving started a chat room and Bigots destroyed this website with their libelous lies. The malicious bigoted contortions of lies could not stand the truth and the website had to be closed to most people. GMB is still selling stolen illegal criminal software and his minions are still creating disruption. I was told by some people that bigots were still attacking our community on GMB behalf to try to destroy us and she has never denied this.

Gage started chat rooms, but she allowed and sometimes participated in Libel. And requests for personal background qualifications were ignored and bigots were allowed to disrupt the sites with lies, rumors and half-truths contorted contrary to truth.

So now here we are building a chat room for your medical questions, software questions, operative, educational questions.
https://www.facebook.com/groups/askandreveal/permalink/186651181496506/

We have a set of rules to flush out the unqualified, expose the bigots, and above all bring you truth from the educated and experienced. We will not block opinions and give warning to the Libelous and uneducated. We will seek to procure answers to any questions with honor and integrity. We will discourage cyber-bullying by the ill-informed and those with hidden agendas. And we will value education and experience; we will seek out validation, verification and substantiation.
We need clear cut rules of order to prevent disinformation. We are dedicated to truth, communication and helping people get good advice. Personal vendettas, bigotry and intolerance will be exposed and discouraged.

All are welcome, Anyone here can ask a question, Anyone here can express an opinion,

No one has the right to lie about a person especially a professional lawyer, doctor, or therapist without substantiation, rumors and third party comments must be verified

But we will not let uneducated and inexperienced give legal advice, medical orders, or mental consulting without providing credentials

Those who are unwilling to tell their education if requested, unable to provide evidence if requested, unable to provide validation of their claims if requested, or if they do and continue bullying we will label them and warn others to not allow this cyber-bullying virus to continue. Name calling is allowed until it becomes bullying.

We do not allow harassment or cyber-bullying. We encourage communication to build to resolution

And only our professional site staff will do the labeling, others doing so will be deleted

Disobey the rules and you get a Strike; Three Strikes and you’re out for a Month with a Time Out Like we do for children
Laurence Zeigler is now Larry King

John Bongiovi is now Bon Jovi

Enrique Morales is now Ricky Martin

Maurice Joseph Micklewhite is Now Michael Caine
Farrokh Bulsara changed his name to Freddie Mercury

Margaret Hyra is now Meg Ryan

Reginald Dwight is now Elton John

William Nelson is now Desire’ Dubounet
Who would be so irresponsible to say that Reginald Dwight, Margaret Hyra, Farrokh Bulsara, William Nelson, Maurice Joseph Micklewhite, Enrique Morales, John Bongiovi, Laurence Zeigler are dead??? Who could be so stupid and malicious to say this??? It is OK to say for Farrokh Bulsara for he is dead. I was there when he died. It is immature and childish to say someone is dead when they are not. It is a sign of an immature, malicious bully mind.

When someone blatantly lies to you and says that Bill Nelson is dead, recognize their stupidity and malicious heart. Do not reward their bigotry. But do not shirk away from controversy for evil succeeds when good people do nothing.
On the "Ask + It will be Revealed to You" Site we seek to get to the truth to help and respect people
"If You are Silent in the Face of Bigotry or Intolerance then you are an Accomplice to a Crime and You will Pay Karmic Debt"

Desire' Dubounet